

Remarks

Claim 21 has been objected to due to a wording informality.

Claims 19 to 21 have been rejected under 35 USC102 for lack of novelty over Le (US patent 6,466,585).

Claims 17 and 18 are allowed.

Claims 19 to 21

Claims 19 to 21 are canceled.


Claims 17 and 18

A minor clarification of wording has been made to the preamble of claim 17 that is believed not to substantially affect the scope of claims 17 and 18.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, allowance of all the claims presently in the application is respectfully requested, as is passage to issuance of the application. If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact Applicant's attorney, **Jimmy Goo**, at 973 386 6377.

Respectfully,
Jin Yang

By 
Martin I Finston
Attorney for the Applicant
Reg. No. 31613
(973)-386-3147

Date: June 2, 2006
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)
Lucent Technologies Inc.
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030